

## ABSTRACT:

The Mars community is poised to come together to develop a fuller understanding of the Mars' space environment and its interaction with the solar wind. A number of significant advances have been made recently in the arenas of modeling and spacecraft measurement. For example, several modeling groups have achieved high enough resolution and completeness that the entire system, from the solar wind down to the atmosphere, can be included in a single model. Further, these models have advanced to the point where they could and should be compared with each other, and with in situ measurements. At the same time, the Mars Express spacecraft has increased the number of instruments currently making relevant measurements of the Martian plasma environment, and is for the first time enabling simultaneous measurements of different parts of the interaction region by different spacecraft. These advances have been made by a diverse group of investigators, both geographically and in terms of their expertise and experience.

In order to stimulate discussions between the various scientists interested in the Martian solar wind interaction, we propose to conduct a Chapman Conference on the solar wind interaction with Mars. The conference will cover the following topics:

1. How is the structure of the interaction region formed and maintained?
2. How do crustal magnetic fields affect the interaction locally and globally?
3. How does the interaction affect upper atmospheric structure and escape?
4. How do models implement the physics and variability of the system?
5. How does the Martian environment compare to other solar system bodies?

The meeting will occur over 4 days. Its format will include oral presentations and posters. Session chairs will present a synthesis of results, especially as they apply to the science questions in this proposal. Authors will be strongly encouraged to submit papers from the conference to a refereed proceedings volume such as a Geophysical Monograph or a special section of a journal. Participation in the conference will be open to anyone. We will invite key speakers from the major modeling groups, the spacecraft missions, and the laboratory community.

# **Proposal for AGU Chapman Conference**

## **“The Solar Wind Interaction with Mars”**

### **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Abstract	2
Table of Contents	3
Conveners and Program Committee	4
Science Focus and Objectives	5
Session Topics	6
Conference Format, Schedule, and Potential Speakers	13
Suggested Dates, Duration, and Location of Conference	14
Conference Cosponsors	15
Expected Impact	15
Anticipated Attendance	15
Related Previous Conferences	16
Anticipated Conference Reports and/or Publications	17
Biography of Conveners	17
References	19

# Proposal for AGU Chapman Conference

## “The Solar Wind Interaction with Mars”

### Conveners:

Dana Crider  
Catholic University of America  
106 Driftwood Dr.  
Gibsonville, NC 27249  
crider@cua.edu  
336-449-7269

David Brain  
University of California, Berkeley  
7 Gauss Way  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
brain@ssl.berkeley.edu  
510-642-0743

### Program Committee:

Mario Acuña  
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, MD USA  
Mario.H.Acuna@nasa.gov  
301-286-7258

Alexander Krymskii  
Rostov State University  
Rostov-on-Don, Russia  
amkrym@yahoo.com  
610-274-0502

Stanislav Barabash  
Swedish Institute of Space Physics  
Kiruna, Sweden  
stas@irf.se  
0046-980-79122

Helmut Lammer  
Austrian Academy of Sciences  
Graz, Austria  
Helmut.Lammer@oeaw.ac.at  
0043-316-4120-641

César Bertucci  
Imperial College London  
London, United Kingdom  
c.bertucci@imperial.ac.uk  
44-207-594-7766

Janet Luhmann  
University of California, Berkeley  
Berkeley, CA  
jgluhmann@ssl.berkeley.edu  
510-642-2545

Eduard Dubinin  
Max-Planck Institute for Solar  
System Research  
Lindau, Germany  
dubinin@mps.mpg.de  
49-5556-979-129

Andrew Nagy  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI  
anagy@umich.edu  
734-764-6592

Jane Fox  
Wright State University  
Dayton, OH  
Jane.Fox@wright.edu  
937-775-2983

Hiroyuki Shinagawa  
National Institute of Information and  
Communications Technology  
Tokyo, Japan  
sinagawa@nict.go.jp  
81-42-327-6596

## Science Focus and Objectives

The interaction of the solar wind with the Martian atmosphere has been studied and measured for more than four decades [e.g. Smith et al., 1965; Dolginov, 1978; Rosenbauer et al., 1989; Acuña et al., 1998; Lundin et al., 2004]. Despite this long history, many failed spacecraft and redirection of political priorities encumbered progress in the field until the last decade. Now, the data, funding, and motivation all exist to enable advancement in the understanding of this complex interaction. Because Mars lacks a significant global magnetic field and has an extensive exosphere, the solar wind interacts directly with the upper atmosphere. This is a factor in atmospheric loss at Mars and may have played a major role in the long-term evolution of the climate [e.g. Luhmann and Bauer, 1992; Brain and Jakosky, 1998; Jakosky and Phillips, 2001; Chassefière and Leblanc, 2004]. The Martian solar wind interaction shares characteristics with a variety of solar system bodies (such as Venus, comets, Titan, the Moon, and even Earth), and has been compared in different ways to each of them [e.g. Cloutier et al., 1999; Coates, 2004; Szegö et al., 2000]. Mars also provides an important laboratory for understanding a variety of space plasma processes (such as particle acceleration, magnetic reconnection, and the formation of instabilities) in a non-terrestrial plasma environment [e.g. Lundin et al., 2004; Krymskii et al., 2002; Grebowsky et al., 2004; Penz et al., 2005].

The community of scientists who study the Martian plasma environment is diverse, with widely different backgrounds, experience, and nationality. While special sessions at meetings and small workshops periodically serve to promote dialogue between subsets of these scientists, there has not been a community-wide meeting dedicated to discussion of the current state of knowledge of the Martian solar wind interaction for 15 years. This time span is especially long considering the improvement in our understanding that has emerged in the past decade. Even more importantly, advances in the past 2-3 years have not been limited to a single spacecraft or a handful of simulations unlike in the past. It is therefore increasingly important that the many different research groups be given an opportunity to come together in order to assimilate results. Then they can place their results into context with the larger science questions related to the solar wind interaction at Mars.

We propose to conduct a Chapman Conference in order to provide a focused forum for the scientists involved in the study of the solar wind interaction with Mars to gather, exchange ideas, cooperate in research, and disseminate results. **This conference will specifically address the following science questions:**

1. *How is the structure of the interaction region formed and maintained?*
2. *How do crustal magnetic fields affect the interaction locally and globally?*
3. *How does the interaction affect upper atmospheric structure and escape?*
4. *How do models implement the physics and variability of the system?*
5. *How does the Martian environment compare to other solar system bodies?*

These questions will be addressed over four days through oral sessions with invited and contributed talks and a poster session. Each session will conclude with a summary by the session chair of the important points and unanswered questions raised in the session. We will communicate the results of the conference to the community through publication of a proceedings volume and submission of a summary article to *Eos*

**Objective:** To draw the space plasma modeling, planetary aeronomy, and particles and fields instrumentation communities together to compile a better understanding of the solar wind interaction with Mars, its effects on the upper atmosphere, and its relationship to the solar wind interaction with other planetary bodies.

## Session Topics

Each of the science questions listed above will serve as a session topic for the conference. Below, we provide a brief description of a few subtopics to be covered within each session, along with a partial list of “unanswered questions” compiled by the Conveners and Program Committee. In addition to the distinct session topics, we will emphasize a few themes throughout the entire meeting, including *variability* in the Martian solar wind interaction, long-term *evolution* of the system, and fundamental plasma *processes*.

### Topic #1: Structure of the Martian plasma environment

In general terms we understand that the structure of the Martian plasma environment results from the interaction of the flowing solar wind with the upper atmosphere and localized crustal magnetic fields. This interaction creates a number of different plasma regions, identified by characteristic signatures in particles and/or fields measurements, separated by plasma boundaries. An important issue in the study of the Martian solar wind interaction stems from the fact that researchers naturally view the interaction through the tools (instrument/model) at their disposal. This approach often leads to different names for the same region or boundary, and more importantly can lead to incomplete or conflicting descriptions of the responsible physics. For example, most spacecraft instruments have identified a transition in the Martian environment somewhere between the bow shock and the ionosphere. The boundary represented by this transition has been given many names, including planetopause, ion composition boundary, protonopause, mantle boundary, proton dropout boundary, magnetic pileup boundary, the boundary, and induced magnetosphere boundary [e.g. Riedler et al., 1991; Breus et al., 1991; Sauer et al., 1995; Vignes et al., 2000; Lundin et al., 2004]. Despite the many measurements, it is currently not known whether all of the observed signatures are associated with the same boundary, or which physical processes are responsible for forming the boundary. One goal of this session is

#### *Topic #1 Unanswered Questions*

- < How close to Mars does the solar wind penetrate?
- < Which factors control the level of variability in each region of the interaction?
- < What dictates the structure of the IMB/MPB? What type of discontinuity is it? What is its physical extent? What are the characteristics of the current layer that it represents?
- < What is the magnetic field structure of Mars' distant tail? What is the structure of the neutral sheet? Which escape processes occur within the tail?
- < What is the origin of the nonlinear upstream waves?
- < Which factors govern the microscopic structure of the Martian bow shock?
- < What causes the pressure anisotropies that generate mirror mode waves seen in the lower magnetosheath?
- < What do multipoint observations by MGS and MEX reveal about the structure and variability of the interaction?

to bring together the different observational and model results, many of which are recent, in order to move from a phenomenological description of the different plasma regions to a physical understanding.

In addition to identifying the processes responsible for forming the different regions in the Martian plasma environment, it is important to understand how the system reconfigures in response to changes in external or atmospheric conditions. Variability comes on many spatial and temporal scales, and is observed in the bow shock, the magnetosheath, the magnetic pileup region, the magnetotail, and the ionosphere [e.g. Johnson and Hanson, 1992; Verigin et al., 1993; Tracadas et al., 2001; Crider et al., 2002; Vignes et al., 2002; Brain et al., 2003; 2005; Krymskii et al., 2004; Bertucci et al., 2005]. In order to understand this variability, one can study the structure as a function of different driving factors, such as EUV flux, solar wind pressure, crustal magnetic field orientation, etc. Alternatively, one can use concurrent data from multiple positions in the interaction region to learn the spatial scales and structure. These multipoint observations are possible now with Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) and Mars Express (MEX), both in operation at Mars. Further, there will be an opportunity to obtain 3-point observations when Rosetta flies by Mars in Feb. 2007. However, the best way to achieve a complete, physical understanding of the variability is to use models to determine the relative contributions of driving forces in the interaction. But the variability in the interaction

introduces high levels of uncertainty when comparing models and data, which is necessary to validate the models. Thus it is crucial for modelers and data analysts to attack this issue from both sides. The proposed meeting will foster an exchange of information between the spacecraft teams and the modelers in order to determine the relative importance of (and response to) different drivers.

### **Topic #2: Crustal fields and their effects**

Remnant crustal magnetic fields add an intriguing component of spatial and temporal variability to the Martian plasma environment. Present over much of the planet [Acuña et al., 1998, 2001; Lillis et al., 2004], but strongest in the southern hemisphere [Acuña et al., 1999, 2001], the detection of crustal magnetic fields has influenced our understanding of the Martian interior and subsurface [e.g. Acuña et al., 1998; Schubert et al., 2000; Kletetschka et al., 2000, 2004, 2005; Stevenson et al., 2001; Connerney et al., 2001, 2004, 2005; Spohn et al., 2001; Nimmo and Tanaka 2005]. However, modeling the crustal fields relies on careful selection of orbital magnetometer data in order to minimize the contribution from external fields, such as those from the interaction with the solar wind. Thus, understanding the solar wind interaction is an essential

#### *Topic #2 Unanswered Questions*

- < What are the strengths and limitations to the different approaches to modeling crustal sources?
- < Which data have the least influence from external fields, thus being best for modeling the crustal fields?
- < What are the plasma boundaries associated with crustal fields?
- < How do crustal fields alter the occurrence and location of plasma boundaries?
- < How do they affect large-scale current systems in the ionosphere?
- < What fraction of Mars has open magnetic field lines and what is the temporal and spatial variability?
- < How do crustal magnetic fields, Mars diurnal rotation, SW dynamic pressure, IMF, and seasonal variations combine to determine the structure and variability of the upper atmosphere and ionosphere of Mars?

element in using the crustal fields to interpret the geologic history of the Martian interior.

Likewise, the crustal fields (sometimes referred to as ‘anomalies’) are sufficiently strong that they influence the upper atmosphere and solar wind interaction, since the motion of charged particles is affected by magnetic fields. This influence has been observed locally, above the individual locations of each region of remnant magnetization. Certain plasma boundaries (PEB, MPB) are perturbed upward in regions of crustal magnetic field, presumably due to the added magnetic pressure contribution of the anomalies [Crider et al., 2002, 2004; Mitchell et al., 2001; Brain et al., 2005], and the structure of draped solar wind magnetic fields is changed [Crider et al., 2002, 2004a, 2004b; Brain et al., 2003]. The crustal fields also create complex current patterns in the dayside ionosphere [Vennerstrom et al., 2003]. The details of these effects are still under investigation. Ongoing research in these areas would benefit from the opportunity this conference will provide by convening representatives from the data and simulation groups.

Magnetic reconnection of crustal field lines to the passing solar wind magnetic field enables three different magnetic field topologies (closed, open, and unconnected) at Mars, and allows otherwise isolated particle populations to mix [Acuña et al., 1998; Mitchell et al., 2001; Krymskii et al., 2002; Brain et al., 2003]. UV auroral emission has been reported in crustal magnetic field ‘cusps’ [Bertaux et al., 2005]. Particle distributions reminiscent of auroral acceleration processes have been observed near crustal fields on the Martian night side [Brain et al., 2006; Lundin et al., 2006a, 2006b]. Interesting physics will be revealed by examining the similarities and differences between the plasma processes observed at Martian crustal fields (e.g. auroral and wave acceleration processes) and those observed at other solar system bodies, including the Sun.

In addition to these local consequences of crustal fields, there is some indication that the global solar wind interaction is influenced by their presence over distances of thousands of kilometers, both in terms of the locations of plasma boundaries and the structure of magnetic fields [Brain et al., 2005], and in terms of particle motion and plasma energization [Lundin et al., 2006a, 2006b]. The extent to which the crustal fields affect the global interaction is an open and emerging topic that will be addressed at the conference.

Coupled with the time variability in the solar wind and EUV flux, crustal fields make the Martian plasma environment incredibly dynamic and complex. Since the crustal fields are necessarily geographically fixed, Mars presents an ever-changing obstacle to the solar wind as it rotates. This last point is especially problematic in terms of global simulations, since the orientation of crustal fields with respect to the solar wind flow and magnetic field always varies (therefore many simulations can be required to model the interaction for a single set of external conditions). However, the longevity of MGS and its fixed orbit combine to alleviate this problem somewhat. There are many passes over the same ground track at the same local time and season, thus with the same orientation of the crustal fields to the solar wind. An exchange of information between the modelers and spacecraft teams would be beneficial now because there are enough data to compare the effects of various drivers using a single orientation for model runs.

### Topic #3: Upper atmospheric structure and atmospheric loss

Except in some regions of strong crustal magnetic field, the Martian upper atmosphere acts as the primary obstacle to flowing solar wind plasma [e.g. see the review by Nagy et al., 2004]. The solar wind interaction, then, is the upper boundary condition for the Martian atmosphere. It deposits energy in the upper atmosphere via particle interactions, waves, and instabilities. This energy can heat and accelerate particles, and influence upper atmospheric structure, chemistry and dynamics. The upper atmosphere also serves as the particle reservoir for atmospheric escape. A variety of escape mechanisms remove both neutral and ionized planetary particles from the atmosphere by giving them escape velocity in a region where collisions are rare [see, for example, a recent review by Chassefière et al., 2004]. The solar wind influences both the particle reservoirs and many of the mechanisms themselves – especially mechanisms related to ion loss [Michel, 1971]. Loss processes for neutrals include Jeans escape for hydrogen and photochemical escape for heavier species. Loss processes for ions include pickup by the solar wind electric field, possible bulk removal processes via fluid-like instabilities, and possible ion outflow processes (e.g. processes analogous to Earth's polar wind). Both neutrals and ions near the exobase may be 'sputtered' away by energetic incident particles such as pickup ions or particles in solar storms (called SEPs).

#### *Topic #3 Unanswered Questions*

- < Do the oxygen and hydrogen escape in the stoichiometric proportions of water?
- < What fraction of the total atmospheric loss came from the solar wind interaction?
- < How much of the ion outflow is lost from the planet and how much flows to the night side where it converges and flows downward?
- < How are the model and measured ion density profiles affected by ion outflows?
- < Is an F2 peak of O+ at high solar activity required to account for the alleged dominance of O+ in measured ion outflow, e.g. by PHOBOS-2? What do the recent models predict?
- < What is the extent and variability of Mars' distant H corona?

A number of observations highlight the influence of the solar wind on atmospheric escape and the upper atmosphere. For example, Viking density profiles were significantly lower at high altitude than predicted by simple photochemical models, indicative of a loss process for upper atmospheric neutrals [Shinagawa and Cravens, 1989]. Phobos and Mars Express measurements showed that escaping pickup ions in the Martian magnetotail are controlled by the orientation of the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) [e.g. Kallio et al., 1995, Dubinin et al., 2006; Fedorov et al., 2006]. Auroral-like acceleration near crustal magnetic cusps (resulting in ion outflow) appears to be partly controlled by IMF direction, and is more intense during space weather events [Brain et al., 2006]. Mars Express has observed escaping planetary oxygen ions at low altitudes, demonstrating that the solar wind has direct access to the upper atmosphere [Lundin et al. 2004]. During space weather events, the high flux of solar energetic particles (SEPs) can heat the upper atmosphere for short time periods [Leblanc et al., 2002]. Additionally, Morgan et al. [2006] have shown that additional night side ionization results from the impact of solar energetic particles into the Martian atmosphere, preventing subsurface soundings of the MARSIS radar experiment on Mars Express.

The upper atmosphere and atmospheric escape processes are also affected by crustal fields. For example, ionization of the Martian dayside exosphere by charge exchange and electron impact can not occur in regions protected from the solar wind by strong anomalies [Mitchell et al., 2001]. Meanwhile, the solar wind has direct access to the upper atmosphere along open magnetic field lines in cusp regions [Mitchell et al., 2001; Brain et al., 2005]. This situation, in turn, drives differences in the ion and neutral densities in closed and open field regions. These differences have been directly measured in the form of electron density profiles [Ness et al., 2000; Krymskii et al., 2004; Breus et al., 2005; Withers et al., 2005], and in the form of vertical sheets of ionization near crustal field cusps [Gurnett et al., 2005; Nielsen et al. 2006]. This meeting will gather experts from both sides of the interface between the solar wind interaction and the upper atmosphere in order to define the role the solar wind plays in atmospheric processes, structure and evolution.

#### Topic #4: Modeling the interaction

The first simulation of the global Martian solar wind interaction was published by Spreiter et al. in 1970. Since this initial gasdynamic model, the last 35 years have seen continued advances in the complexity of the simulations, including their physical assumptions, implementation schemes, and resolution. In recent years, especially, improvements in computational resources have allowed significant new modeling results.

Simulations today may be classified according to the different physical assumptions they employ: gasdynamic, ideal MHD, Hall MHD, and hybrid. Within these classifications, models can be distinguished by whether they are 2-D or 3-D, the number of species they follow, their implementation, and the assumptions employed at the model boundaries. We count *at least* 10 different modeling groups that have been actively simulating the Martian interaction in the past several years: 3 single fluid MHD groups (Nagy et al., Maezawa et al., Schoendorf et al.); 5 hybrid groups (Brecht et al., Modolo et al., Kallio et al., Terada et al., Böswetter et al.); a Hall MHD group (Harnett and Winglee); and a two fluid MHD group (Sauer et al.). In addition to these basic simulations, a number of “value-added” models employ some combination of a basic simulation and an added process such as electron transport [Liemohn et al., 2006], test particle trajectories [e.g. Luhmann et al., 1991; Luhmann et al., 1992], etc.

These simulations have been used for a variety of purposes. They have been used to study atmospheric escape rates [e.g. Ma et al. 2002, 2004; Modolo et al. 2005; ], the structure and topology of magnetic fields in the Martian system [Brecht, 1997; Harnett

#### *Topic #4 Unanswered Questions*

- < What is the present day global atmospheric escape rate?
- < How do escape rates vary with EUV flux and solar wind conditions?
- < How do solar wind conditions modulate the structure of the interaction region?
- < Do models reproduce observations? Why or why not?
- < How do the many hybrid simulations compare? MHD?
- < For what purposes are each of the model types most appropriate (boundary locations, etc.)?
- < How do crustal fields affect the magnetic field structure and particle flows?

and Winglee, 2005], the structure of the ionosphere [Ma et al. 2002, 2004], the global shapes of plasma boundaries [Liu et al., 1999, 2001; Brecht et al., 1991, 1993; Bößwetter et al., 2004], and particle transport [Liemohn et al., 2006]. They can be used to simulate the interaction in conditions that may have existed in the past to trace the evolution of the system over history. Perhaps most importantly, simulations are powerful tools that can be used to study the effects of different drivers on the Martian system (i.e. variability) and place existing observations in context.

A number of challenges face the simulationists in the coming years (several of these are outlined in the adjacent textbox). Foremost among these is to identify the source of discrepancies between similar models. For example, four out of five of the hybrid modeling groups mentioned above employ 3D multi-species simulations. The different groups have different implementation schemes, and at least two have chemistry incorporated into their model. Yet the predicted atmospheric escape fluxes differ by an order of magnitude for similar conditions. Secondly, the simulations must be compared more rigorously to spacecraft observations in order to determine where the model assumptions are valid. These issues must be resolved before the simulations can reliably be applied to earlier epochs.

At the proposed conference, this session will provide the opportunity for modelers to compare their simulations to the data. Further they will be able to learn details about how their results compare to other modeling results and explore what aspects are critical to account for the differences in the simulations.

*Topic #5 Unanswered Questions*

- < How does plasma behave in magnetic structures, like mini-magnetospheres, that have a scale size between the electron and proton gyroradius?
- < How do ASPERA-3 and ASPERA-4 data (ion observations, electron observations, and ENA images) at Mars and Venus compare?
- < Are “cometopause”, “magnetic pileup boundary” and “ion composition boundary” different names for the same physics?
- < What are the similarities in particle acceleration in auroral phenomena observed at Mars compared to Earth or other bodies?
- < What are the commonalities in ULF waves at Mars, Venus, and comets?
- < Is the photoelectron boundary the equivalent of Venus' ionopause?

**Topic #5: Comparative planetology**

Mars is a combination obstacle to the solar wind. Its conducting ionosphere, extensive exosphere, and crustal magnetic fields all have significant contributions to the solar wind interaction. Therefore, Mars shares characteristics with Venus (ionospheric obstacle), comets and satellites of outer planets (mass-loading), the Moon (mini-magnetospheres), and the Earth (particle acceleration and auroral processes). The combination of these also lends Mars some unique characteristics (asymmetries). Therefore, it is useful to compare and contrast features in the Mars solar wind interaction with the interactions between other bodies and space plasmas. Conversely, studying the solar wind interaction with Mars will further the understanding of other planets and universal processes.

In particular, many modelers apply their techniques/codes to objects throughout the solar system. Harnett and Winglee [2000; 2002; 2003;

2005] have progressively developed models to describe the effects of mini-magnetospheres in the solar wind. They began with simulations of the Moon and have continued to Mars. Nagy and coworkers [Liu et al., 1999; 2001; Ma et al. 2002; 2004] have applied their MHD model to not only the solar wind interaction with Mars, but also Titan's interaction with Saturn's magnetosphere [Ma et al., 2004], Europa [Liu et al., 2000], comets [Kabin et al., 2000], and Venus [De Zeeuw et al., 1996; Bauske et al., 1998]. Brecht and coworkers have applied their hybrid model to Mars, Venus [Brecht and Ferrante, 1991], Titan [Brecht et al., 2000], and the Earth [Brecht and Thomas, 1987]. Cloutier and coworkers [Cloutier et al., 1979; Hoogeveen and Cloutier, 1996] have modeled the structure of magnetic fields in the ionospheres of Mars, Venus, and Triton. Cravens and coworkers have modeled the ionospheres of many objects, including Venus [Nagy et al., 1980; Cravens et al., 1980], comets [Korosmezey et al., 1987], Mars [Shinigawa and Cravens, 1989], and Titan [Keller et al., 1996; Cravens et al., 2000]. These are just a few examples of the breadth of objects and applications of models in comparative planetology.

Theorists have examined atmospheric escape processes at Venus, Earth, and Mars [e.g. Hunten and Donahue, 1976; Kaye, 1987; Kar, 1992; Luhmann and Bauer, 1992; Krasnopolsky et al., 1994; Shizgal and Arkos, 1996; Lammer and Bauer, 2003; Chassefiere and Leblanc, 2004]. Since the solar wind interaction influences a larger component of the overall loss at Mars than Earth or Venus, the comparison of atmospheric composition and loss rates at these other objects is important for evaluation of the overall effect of the solar wind interaction on the atmosphere.

Also, many scientists study data from similar instruments at different planets. Venus Express and Mars Express both carry the ASPERA package. Now, one can more easily interpret the differences observed at Venus and Mars as natural phenomena without accounting for significant instrumental differences. Radio science investigations have been conducted for many decades throughout the solar system. Radio science profiles of the ionosphere provide both a long history of observations to study solar cycle effects and a common format for studying the ionospheres of many objects. Comparison of different space environments using these instruments will enable the exploration of the parameter space in space plasma physics provided by the intrinsic differences of parameters throughout the solar system.

The Cassini spacecraft has an extensive plasma instrument complement and has studied the interaction between Saturn's magnetosphere and moons Titan and Enceladus. Based on an offset of the interaction region from what was expected at Enceladus, Dougherty et al. [2006] were able to infer the presence of a significant neutral jet near that moon's south pole. It is only through the comparison of similar interactions, predictions from well-tested models, and a physical understanding of the important processes that scientists can make such a profound discovery through magnetic fields data from one flyby. The study of Mars, in particular because of the hybrid nature of it as an obstacle, will be useful for the understanding of space plasma interactions elsewhere.

In regions where the crustal magnetic fields connect to IMF lines, auroral features are observed [Bertaux et al., 2005; Brain et al., 2006; Lundin et al., 2006]. Such features represent the first observation of aurora in non-global dynamo magnetic fields. As

described above, it is worthwhile to compare these auroral signatures with those that are well-studied at Earth and other planets.

By dedicating a significant portion of the program to comparative studies between Mars and other bodies, we hope to attract a more diverse audience and expand the knowledge base in the community.

## Conference Format, Schedule, and Potential Speakers

**Format/Schedule: morning session talks, evening session varied (talks, posters, banquet), afternoons free.** Table I is a potential meeting schedule that shows how the poster session and oral sessions combine into a complete program. We would have 8 half-day sessions. We expect that each of the first 4 science questions would be addressed in its own, dedicated, half-day oral session consisting of about 7-10 talks, about half of which would be invited. Topic 5 lends itself to be split among the first and last oral sessions because the comparisons serve as excellent introductory and concluding material. The six oral sessions would comprise the 4 morning slots and 2 evening slots. One evening would host the poster session. There would be a banquet on the other evening. This schedule leaves afternoons free for the participants to meet informally or to explore Myrtle Beach’s many activities that are enjoyable even in the off-season, including golfing, fishing, swimming, shopping, and partaking in beach activities. The afternoons are left free as opposed to the standard evening-free schedule because many of these activities require daylight.

*Table I. Meeting schedule*

	<b>Monday</b>	<b>Tuesday</b>	<b>Wednesday</b>	<b>Thursday</b>
<b>Morning</b> 8:30-12:30	Registration/ Oral sess. topic 5	Oral sess. topic 2	Oral sess. topic 3	Oral sess. topic 4
<b>Afternoon</b> 12:30-4:00	Free	Free	Free	Oral sess. topic 5/ Wrap-up (1:30-5:30)
<b>Evening</b> 4:00-8:00	Oral sess. topic 1	Poster session	Banquet (6:30-9:30)	

Table II displays a hypothetical program for this conference, containing a sample list of invited speakers and topics. The list is divided into topics based on the 5 science questions in section 1. The Program Committee members, each of whom has agreed to serve on the committee, are listed below the science questions in bold. Then a subtopic related to each of the three unifying themes of the conference and a potential invited speaker. The example invited speakers for each subtopic were chosen from extensive lists of potential speakers provided by the Program Committee. These potential speakers have not yet been invited to the conference. This list is provided mainly to show that the potential speakers represent a broad cross-section of the community. Each oral session would have several contributed presentations, as well.

Table II. Topics, talks, and potential invited speakers

THEMES	VARIABILITY	PROCESSES	EVOLUTION
<i>Science topics</i> <b>(Program Committee)</b>			
<i>How is the structure of the interaction region formed and maintained?</i> <b>(Dubinin and Bertucci)</b>	Factors dictating the structure and variability of the IMB/MPB <b>C. Mazelle</b>	Multi-point observations of plasma processes in the Martian solar wind interaction <b>J.-G. Trotignon</b>	Outflow rates and escape processes in the Martian magnetotail <b>A. Fedorov</b>
<i>How do crustal magnetic fields affect the interaction locally and globally?</i> <b>(Acuña and Krymskii)</b>	Response of mini-magnetospheres to external drivers <b>D. Mitchell</b>	Plasma acceleration and reconnection near crustal fields <b>R. Lundin</b>	Do anomalies significantly influence loss processes and rates? <b>E. Harnett</b>
<i>How does the interaction affect upper atmospheric structure and escape?</i> <b>(Fox and Lammer)</b>	Ionospheric variability created by the solar wind and crustal fields <b>D. Gurnett</b>	The relative contributions of atmosphere escape mechanisms? <b>H. Lichtenegger</b>	Do O and H escape in the stoichiometric proportions of H <sub>2</sub> O? <b>Y. Yung</b>
<i>How do models implement the physics and variability of the system?</i> <b>(Nagy and Shinagawa)</b>	Which drivers have greatest influence on the global Martian interaction? <b>Y. Ma</b>	Kinetic vs. Fluid physics in global simulations? <b>E. Kallio</b>	Modeled loss rates over Martian history <b>N. Terada</b>
<i>How does the Martian environment compare to other solar system bodies?</i> <b>(Luhmann and Barabash)</b>	Factors governing ULF waves at Venus, Mars, and comets <b>C. Russell</b>	Auroral processes in the solar system <b>M. Galand</b>	Solar wind related loss processes at Venus, Mars and Titan <b>T. Cravens</b>
	The effects of solar activity on ionospheric scale height of terrestrial planets <b>M. Mendillo</b>	Are the MPB, IMB and the cometopause different names for the same physics? <b>U. Motschmann</b>	Neutral loss processes of the terrestrial planets <b>F. Bakalian</b>

## Suggested Dates, Duration, and Location of Conference

**Dates: Oct. 15-18, 2007.** October on the Atlantic in South Carolina is in the off-season, so room rates are low. The off-season begins Oct. 1, so the proposed dates are not far into the off-season. Hurricanes tend to occur in August and September in the Carolinas, so although this is still Atlantic hurricane season, chances are remote. There is a small overlap between the constituency of American Astronomical Society's Division of Planetary Sciences (DPS) and this community. The DPS meeting is the week before in Orlando. International participants who are considering attending DPS, this meeting, and the Fall AGU would be able to attend both DPS and this meeting with one trip to the US and one visa. Yet it is still separated by almost 2 months from AGU (December 10-14). **These dates are not final** and can be adjusted to maximize attendance once a decision is made on this proposal.

**Duration:** 4 days (see Table I above)

**Location:** Myrtle Beach, SC. We propose an East Coast US city for the location. Myrtle Beach is a popular East Coast destination that is convenient to Convener Crider for planning purposes. MYR airport has connections to East Coast cities. Most Europeans can get there in 3 legs. Americans can get there in  $\leq 2$  legs. Of the US East Coast, the southeast is less expensive than other potential destinations. Myrtle Beach venues are quoting room rates of \$59-\$89.

## Conference Cosponsors

**NASA** – We submitted a proposal to the NASA Mars Fundamental Research Program (MFRP) in May of 2006 requesting \$31K in travel funds for 10-15 attendees, including foreign scientists and graduate students.

## Expected Impact

We anticipate that the conference will directly benefit the community in the following ways:

1. Establish the current state of knowledge (measurement, simulation, theory) of the Martian solar wind interaction and upper atmosphere.
2. Establish the main unanswered questions facing the community over the next 10 years.
3. Help to place the Martian plasma interaction in the larger context of the Martian planetary system, from the evolution of the interior and crustal fields, to the state and evolution of the atmosphere, to Martian habitability.
4. Help to place the Martian plasma interaction and the processes that occur there in the larger context in the solar system given recent advances in our understanding.
5. Enable “cross-pollination” of ideas between scientists.
6. Provide a forum for vetting ideas for future Mars missions, including ones already proposed to the NASA Scout Program, the Mars Telecom Orbiter, and others.

These benefits will be achieved for those scientists attending the conference. They will be relayed to the community at large (including scientists from other disciplines) through publication of the proceedings of the conference and an *Eos* article. Graduate students and junior scientists, especially, should benefit from synthesis talks given by the session chairs (who are all recognized experts, and nearly all senior scientists), and from the resulting publications.

## Anticipated Attendance

~75 A compilation of all of the potential *invited* speakers submitted by the Conveners and the Program Committee included 76 individuals. This list did not come

close to exhausting the entire community. While we do not expect 100% attendance from the community, we think that the potential program is a good estimate of the expected attendance. Supporting this, there were 40-50 people in attendance at the recent Kiruna Mars Workshop, which was unadvertised. We expect that the prominent stature of the Program Committee members will attract participants. They include the PI of MGS MAG/ER, the PI of MEX ASPERA-3, some of the top modelers and theorists in the community, and researchers with involvement in Mars research since PHOBOS-2. These prominent members of the community will advertise the meeting to their team members and colleagues.

## **Related Previous Conferences**

There have been focused conferences in the recent and distant past on the solar wind interaction with Mars. The last large, dedicated conference was a Chapman Conference in 1990 in Hungary, which included the atmospheres, ionospheres, and solar wind interactions of both Mars and Venus. Janet Luhmann, a member of the Program Committee for this conference, was one of the conveners of that conference. That conference resulted in a successful AGU monograph. However, many new data and better models exist today, warranting another such gathering.

Likewise, an ISSI workshop was held in Bern, Switzerland in Oct. 2001 to bring together PHOBOS-2 and MGS scientists. Although many MEX scientists were involved in PHOBOS-2 and were in attendance at this meeting, the success of MEX enables another visitation of the comparison of spacecraft data. This meeting was by invitation only because the facility did not allow too large of a group. Program Committee Member Mario Acuña was an editor of the proceedings from that meeting, which were published in a special issue of Space Science Reviews.

A conference dedicated to the solar wind interaction with Mars was held in Feb. 2006 in Kiruna, Sweden. Attendance to that conference was by invitation, and the size was limited by the organizers desire to keep it small. One of the Program Committee, Stas Barabash, convened that meeting.

An initiative exists that emphasizes comparing the processes in the upper atmosphere/ionosphere of objects in this and other solar systems. In 2001, the biennial Yosemite Meeting was entitled “Comparative Aeronomy in the Solar System,” from which has grown a Comparative Aeronomy in the Solar System news group, an AGU monograph, and a special “Union” session at the Spring 2006 AGU meeting. There is a lot that can be learned from comparing the processes occurring at various objects in the solar system. This meeting differs from that initiative in that it will focus on Mars and include processes occurring all the way from the upper atmosphere to the solar wind.

The 2005 Fall AGU meeting included a special session on the interaction of the solar wind with weakly and unmagnetized objects. Convener Crider and Committee Member Barabash organized this special session, which received about 50 abstracts. Concentrating on the solar wind interaction with Mars, such as in the proposed meeting, narrows a broad topic into something more tenable. We think that this will facilitate

answering the science questions in this proposal.

These previous small meetings have not been open to the entire community. Big meetings like AGU, while open to everyone, often schedule concurrent sessions on these related topics making it impossible to assimilate information from pertinent fields. A dedicated solar wind interaction with Mars meeting would be timely, productive, and beneficial.

## **Anticipated Conference Reports and/or Publications**

The Conveners, in collaboration with the Program Committee, will prepare a summary report on the conference for publication in EOS. In addition, the Conveners will solicit papers for a Conference Proceedings in the form of an AGU monograph or special issue of a journal. We anticipate that the proceedings will include papers from authors having invited conference talks, contributed conference talks, and posters. Additionally, we will require that the session chairs for each science topic (i.e. the Program Committee) contribute a brief synthesis paper outlining the current understanding and outstanding questions for each topic.

## **Biography of Conveners**

**Dr. Dana Crider** received her B.A. in physics from the Johns Hopkins University and her M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Space Physics and Astronomy from Rice University. She has been a Research Assistant Professor in the Physics Department at the Catholic University of America since 2001. Her research focuses on the solar wind interaction with planetary bodies, including Mars, Venus, the Moon and Mercury. She uses data analysis and modeling to understand those interactions, especially how the solar wind affects the evolution of volatiles. Dr. Crider has been interpreting data from the Mars Global Surveyor Magnetometer/Electron Reflectometer since the spacecraft arrived at Mars in 1997.

*Selected relevant publications (see also reference section):*

- Crider, D. H., J. Espley, D. A. Brain, D. L. Mitchell, J. E. P. Connerney, M. H. Acuña. Mars Global Surveyor observations of the Halloween 2003 solar super-storm's encounter with Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.* 110., A09S21, doi:10.1029/2004JA010881, 2005.
- Ferguson, B. B., J. C. Cain, D. Crider, D. Brain, and E. Harnett, External Fields on the Night-side of Mars at Mars Global Surveyor Mapping Altitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L16105, doi:10.1029/2004GL021964, 2005.
- Grebowsky, J. M., D. H. Crider, D. S. Intriligator, R. E. Hartle, and M. H. Acuña. Venus/Mars pickup ions and ionosheath wave structures, *Adv. Space Res.* 33 (2), 176-181, 2004.
- Krymskii, A. M., T. K. Breus, N. F. Ness, M. H. Acuña, J. E. P. Connerney, D. H. Crider, D. L. Mitchell, S. J. Bauer, Structure of the magnetic field fluxes connected with crustal magnetization and topside ionosphere at Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.* 107 (A9): 1245,

doi:10.1029/2001JA000239, 2002.

- Mitchell, D. L., R. P. Lin, H. Rème, D. H. Crider, P. A. Cloutier, J. E. P. Connerney, M. H. Acuña, and N. F. Ness. Oxygen Auger electrons observed in Mars' ionosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27 (13): 1871-1874, 2000.
- Nagy, A. F., D. Winterhalter, K. Sauer, T. E. Cravens, S. Brecht, C. Mazelle, D. Crider, E. Kallio, A. Zakharov, E. Dubinin, M. Verigin, G. Kotova, W. I. Axford, C. Bertucci, and J. G. Trotignon, The Plasma Environment of Mars, *Space Sci. Rev.* 111 (1-2): 33-114, 2004.
- Verigin, M. D. Vignes, D. Crider, J. Slavin, M. Acuña, G. Kotova, and A. Remizov. Martian obstacle and bow shock: Origins of boundaries anisotropy, *Adv. Space Res.* 33 (12): 2222-2227, 2004.

**Dr. David Brain** is an assistant research physicist at the University of California Berkeley Space Sciences Laboratory. His research interests include the magnetic field and plasma environments of non and weakly magnetized planets such as Mars, Venus, and the Moon. His analysis efforts include: the interaction of the solar wind with these bodies; aurora, magnetic field topology, and reconnection at Mars and Venus; and the effects of solar storms at Venus, the Moon, Mars, and extrasolar planets. Dr. Brain is primarily involved with the analysis of spacecraft data (and supporting modeling) from the Mars Global Surveyor, Mars Express, Lunar Prospector, and Venus Express spacecraft. He was recently selected by ESA as a Supporting Investigator for Venus Express. Dr. Brain received a BA in Physics and Mathematics from Rice University in 1995, and an MS and PhD in Astrophysics and Planetary Sciences from the University of Colorado at Boulder in 1997 and 2002. He is a member of AGU and of DPS (the Division of Planetary Sciences of the American Astronomical Society).

*Selected relevant publications (see also reference section):*

- Brain, D.A., F. Bagenal, M.H. Acuña, J.E.P. Connerney, D.H. Crider, C. Mazelle, D.L. Mitchell, and N.F. Ness, *Observations of low frequency electromagnetic plasma waves upstream from the Martian shock by MGS MAG*, JGR, 107(A6), 1076, doi: 10.1029/2000JA000416, 2002.
- Halekas, J.S., D.A. Brain, R.J. Lillis, M. Fillingim, D.L. Mitchell, and R.P. Lin, *Current Sheets at Low Altitudes in the Martian Magnetotail*, GRL, **in press**, 2006.
- Leblanc F., O. Witasse O., J. Winningham, D. Brain, J. Lilensten, P.-L. Blelly and J.L. Bertaux, *Origins of the Martian aurora observed by SPICAM on board Mars Express*, JGR, **submitted**, 2006.
- Lillis, R.J., J.H. Engel, D.L. Mitchell, D.A. Brain, R.P. Lin, S.W. Bougher, M.H. Acuña, *Probing upper thermospheric neutral densities at Mars using electron reflectometry*, GRL, **32(23)**, L23204, 10.1029/2005GL024337, 15 December 2005.
- Lundin, R., D. Winningham, S. Barabash, R. Frahm, M. Holmström, J.-A. Sauvaud, A. Fedorov, K. Asamura, A. J. Coates, Y. Soobiah, K. C. Hsieh, M. Grande, H. Koskinen, E. Kallio, J. Kozyra, J. Woch, M. Fraenz, D. Brain, J. Luhmann, S. McKenna-Lawler, R. S. Orsini, P. Brandt, and P. Wurz, *Plasma Acceleration Above Martian Magnetic Anomalies*, *Science*, 311(5763), pp. 980-983, doi:10.1126/science.1122071, 17 February 2006.
- Mazelle, C., D. Winterhalter, K. Sauer, J.-G. Trotignon, M.H. Acuña, K. Baumgartel, C. Bertucci, D.A. Brain, S.H. Brecht, M. Delva, E. Dubinin, M. Oieroset, and J. Slavin, *Bow shock and upstream phenomena at Mars*, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 111(1), 115--181, 2004.
- Morgan, D., D.A. Gurnett, D.L. Kirchner, R.L. Huff, D.A. Brain, W.V. Boynton, M. H. Acuña, J. J. Plaut, and G. Picardi, *Solar Control of Radar Wave Absorption by the Martian Ionosphere*, JGR, **accepted**, 2006.

## References

- Acuña, M. H., J. E. P. Connerney, P. Wasilewski, R. P. Lin, K. A. Anderson, C. W. Carlson, J. McFadden, D. W. Curtis, D. Mitchell, H. Rème, C. Mazelle, J. A. Sauvaud, C. d'Uston, A. Cros, J. L. Medale, S. J. Bauer, P. Cloutier, M. Mayhew, D. Winterhalter, and N. F. Ness, Magnetic Field and Plasma Observations at Mars: Initial Results of the Mars Global Surveyor Mission, *Science*, Volume 279, Issue 5357, pp. 1676-1680, March 1998.
- Acuña, M. H., J. E. P. Connerney, N. F. Ness, R. P. Lin, D. Mitchell, C. W. Carlson, J. McFadden, K. A. Anderson, H. Rème, C. Mazelle, D. Vignes, P. Wasilewski, and P. Cloutier, Global Distribution of Crustal Magnetism Discovered by the Mars Global Surveyor MAG/ER Experiment, *Science*, Volume 284, Issue 5415, pp. 790-793, April 1999.
- Acuña, M. H., J. E. P. Connerney, P. Wasilewski, R. P. Lin, D. Mitchell, K. A. Anderson, C. W. Carlson, J. McFadden, H. Rème, C. Mazelle, D. Vignes, S. J. Bauer, P. Cloutier, and N. F. Ness, Magnetic field of Mars: Summary of results from the aerobraking and mapping orbits, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 106, Number E10, pp. 23,403-23,417, doi: 10.1029/2000JE001404, 25 October 2001.
- Bauske, Rainer; Nagy, Andrew F.; Gombosi, Tamas I.; De Zeeuw, Darren L.; Powell, Kenneth G.; Luhmann, Janet G. A three-dimensional MHD study of solar wind mass loading processes at Venus: Effects of photoionization, electron impact ionization, and charge exchange, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 103, Issue A10, p. 23625-23638, 1998
- Bertaux, J.-L., F. Leblanc, O. Witasse, E. Quemerais, J. Lilensten, S.A. Stern, B. Sandel and O. Korablev Discovery of an aurora on Mars, *Nature* 435, 790-794, doi:10.1038/nature03603, 9 June 2005.
- Bertucci, C.; Mazelle, C.; Acuña, M. Structure and variability of the Martian magnetic pileup boundary and bow shock from MGS MAG/ER observations, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 36, Issue 11, p. 2066-2076, 2005
- Boesswetter, A. T Bagdonat, U Motschmann, K Sauer Plasma boundaries at Mars: a 3-D simulation study, *Ann. Geophysicae*, 22, 4363–4379, 2004
- Brain, David A.; Jakosky, Bruce M. Atmospheric loss since the onset of the Martian geologic record: Combined role of impact erosion and sputtering, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 103, Issue E10, p. 22689-22694, 1998
- Brain, D. A.; Bagenal, F.; Acuña, M. H.; Connerney, J. E. P. Martian magnetic morphology: Contributions from the solar wind and crust, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 108, Issue A12, pp. SMP 8-1, CiteID 1424, DOI 10.1029/2002JA009482, 2003
- Brain, D. A.; Halekas, J. S.; Lillis, R.; Mitchell, D. L.; Lin, R. P.; Crider, D. H. Variability of the altitude of the Martian sheath, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 32, Issue 18, CiteID L18203, 2005
- Brecht, Stephen H.; Ferrante, John R. Global hybrid simulation of unmagnetized planets - Comparison of Venus and Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 96, p. 11,209-11,220, 1991
- Brecht, S. H. Hybrid Simulations of the Magnetic Topology of Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102(A3), 4743-4750, 1997.
- Brecht, Stephen H.; Luhmann, Janet G.; Larson, David J. Simulation of the Saturnian magnetospheric interaction with Titan, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 105, Issue A6, p. 13119-13130, 2000
- Brecht, Stephen H.; Thomas, V. A. Three-dimensional simulation of an active magnetospheric release, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 92, p. 2289-2304. 1987
- Breus, T. K.; Dubinin, E. M.; Krymskii, A. M.; Lundin, R.; Luhmann, J. G. The solar wind interaction with Mars - Consideration of Phobos 2 mission observations of an ion

- composition boundary on the dayside, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 96, July 1, 1991, p. 11,165-11,174, 1991
- Breus, T.K., N.F. Ness, A.M. Krymskii, D.H. Crider, M.H. Acuña, J.E.P. Connerney, D. Hinson, and K.K. Barashyan, The effects of crustal magnetic fields and the pressure balance in the high latitude ionosphere/atmosphere at Mars, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 36, Issue 11, pp. 2043-2048, doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2005.01.100, 2005.
- Chassefière, Eric; Leblanc, François, Mars atmospheric escape and evolution; interaction with the solar wind, *Planetary and Space Science*, Volume 52, Issue 11, p. 1039-1058. 2004
- Cloutier, P. A.; Daniell, R. E. An electrodynamic model of the solar wind interaction with the ionospheres of Mars and Venus, *Planetary and Space Science*, vol. 27, p. 1111-1121. 1979.
- Cloutier, P. A., Y. Chen, D. H. Crider, C. C. Law, P. W. Walker, M. H. Acuña, J. E. P. Connerney, R. P. Lin, K. A. Anderson, D. L. Mitchell, C. W. Carlson, J. McFadden, D. A. Brain, H. Rème, C. Mazelle, J. A. Sauvaud, C. d'Uston, D. Vignes, S. J. Bauer, and N. F. Ness. Venus-like interaction of the solar wind with Mars, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 26 (17): 2685-2688, 1999. Connerney, J. E. P., M. H. Acuña, P. J. Wasilewski, G. Kletetschka, N. F. Ness, H. Rème, R. P. Lin, and D. L. Mitchell, The Global Magnetic Field of Mars and Implications for Crustal Evolution, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 28, Issue 21, pp. 4015-4018, doi: 10.1029/2001GL013619, 1 November 2001.
- Coates, A. J. Ion pickup at comets, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 33, Issue 11, p. 1977-1988, 2004
- Connerney, J.E.P., M.H. Acuña, N.F. Ness, T. Spohn, and G. Schubert, Mars crustal magnetism, *Space Science Reviews*, Volume 111, Issue 1, pp. 1-32, doi: 10.1023/B:SPAC.0000032719.40094.1d, March 2004.
- Connerney, J.E.P., M.H. Acuña, N.F. Ness, G. Kletetschka, D.L. Mitchell, R.P. Lin, and H. Rème, Tectonic implications of Mars crustal magnetism, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 102, Iss. 42, pp. 14970-14975, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507469102, 18 Oct 2005.
- Cravens, T. E.; Gombosi, T. I.; Kozyra, J.; Nagy, A. F.; Brace, L. H.; Knudsen, W. C. Model calculations of the dayside ionosphere of Venus – Energetics, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 85, p. 7778-7786, 1980
- Cravens, T. E.; Vann, J.; Clark, J.; Yu, J.; Keller, C. N.; Brull, C. The ionosphere of Titan: an updated theoretical model, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 33, Issue 2, p. 212-215, 2004
- Crider, Dana H.; Acuña, Mario H.; Connerney, John E. P.; Vignes, Didier; Ness, Norman F.; Krymskii, Alexander M.; Breus, Tamara K.; Rème, Henri; Mazelle, Christian; Mitchell, David L.; Lin, Robert P.; Cloutier, Paul A.; Winterhalter, Daniel Observations of the latitude dependence of the location of the martian magnetic pileup boundary, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 29, Issue 8, pp. 11-1, CitelID 1170, DOI 10.1029/2001GL013860, 2002
- Crider, D.H., The influence of crustal magnetism on the solar wind interaction with Mars: Recent observations, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 33, Issue 2, pp. 152-160, doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2003.04.013, 2004a.
- Crider, D.H., D.A. Brain, M.H. Acuña, D. Vignes, C. Mazelle, and C. Bertucci, Mars Global Surveyor observations of solar wind magnetic field draping around Mars, *Space Science Reviews*, Volume 111, Issue 1, pp. 203-221, doi: 10.1023/B:SPAC.0000032714.66124.4e, March 2004b.
- De Zeeuw, Darren L.; Nagy, Andrew F.; Gombosi, Tamas I.; Powell, Kenneth G.; Luhmann, Janet G. A new axisymmetric MHD model of the interaction of the solar wind with Venus, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 101, Issue E2, p. 4547-4556, 1996
- Dolginov, S. S. On the magnetic field of Mars - Mars 5 evidence, *Geophysical Research*

- Letters*, vol. 5, Jan. 1978, p. 93-95, 1978
- Dougherty, M. K.; Khurana, K. K.; Neubauer, F. M.; Russell, C. T.; Saur, J.; Leisner, J. S.; Burton, M. E. Identification of a Dynamic Atmosphere at Enceladus with the Cassini Magnetometer, *Science*, Volume 311, Issue 5766, pp. 1406-1409, 2006
- Dubinin, E., R. Lundin, M. Fränz, J. Woch, S. Barabash, A. Fedorov, D. Winningham, N. Krupp, J.-A. Sauvaud, M. Holmström et al. Electric fields within the martian magnetosphere and ion extraction: ASPERA-3 observations, *Icarus*, in press, 2006.
- Fedorov, A., E. Budnik, J.-A. Sauvaud, C. Mazelle, S. Barabash, R. Lundin, M. Acuña, M. Holström, A. Grigoriev, M. Yamauchi et al. Structure of the martian wake, *Icarus*, in press, 2006.
- Grant, J. et al. "Mars Science Goals, Objectives, Investigations, and Priorities: 2006 MEPAG (Mars Exploration Program Analysis Group)" February 10, 2006 Prepared by the MEPAG (Mars Exploration Program Analysis Group) Goals Committee, 2006.
- Grebowsky, J. M.; Crider, D. H.; Intriligator, D. S.; Hartle, R. E.; Acuna, M. H. Venus/Mars pickup ions and ionosheath wave structures, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 33, Issue 2, p. 176-181, 2004
- Gurnett, D. A., D. L. Kirchner, R. L. Huff, D. D. Morgan, A. M. Persoon, T. F. Averkamp, F. Duru, E. Nielsen, A. Safaeinili, J. J. Plaut, G. Picardi Radar Soundings of the Ionosphere of Mars, *Science*, Vol. 310. no. 5756, pp. 1929 – 1933, DOI: 10.1126/science.1121868, 23 December 2005
- Harnett, E. M.; Winglee, R. M.; Delamere, P. A. Three-dimensional multi-fluid simulations of Pluto's magnetosphere: A comparison to 3D hybrid simulations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 32, Issue 19, CiteID L19104, 2005
- Harnett, Erika M.; Winglee, Robert Two-dimensional MHD simulation of the solar wind interaction with magnetic field anomalies on the surface of the Moon, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 105, Issue A11, p. 24997-25008, 2000
- Harnett, Erika M.; Winglee, Robert M. 2.5-D fluid simulations of the solar wind interacting with multiple dipoles on the surface of the Moon, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, Volume 108, Issue A2, pp. SMP 12-1, CiteID 1088, DOI 10.1029/2002JA009617, 2003
- Harnett, Erika M.; Winglee, Robert M. 2.5D Particle and MHD simulations of mini-magnetospheres at the Moon, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, Volume 107, Issue A12, pp. SMP 4-1, CiteID 1421, DOI 10.1029/2002JA009241, 2002
- Harnett, Erika M.; Winglee, Robert M. Three-dimensional fluid simulations of plasma asymmetries in the Martian magnetotail caused by the magnetic anomalies, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 110, Issue A7, CiteID A07226, 2005
- Hoogeveen, Gary W.; Cloutier, Paul A. The Triton-Neptune plasma interaction, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 101, Issue A1, p. 19-30, 1996
- Hunten, D. M.; Donahue, T. M. Hydrogen loss from the terrestrial planets, *Annual review of earth and planetary sciences*. Volume 4. Palo Alto, Calif., Annual Reviews, Inc., p. 265-292, 1976
- Jakosky, B.M., and R.J. Phillips Mars' volatile and climate history, *Nature*, 412, doi:10.1038/35084184, 237-244, 12 July 2001.
- Johnson, Francis S.; Hanson, William B. Viking 2 electron observations at Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 97, no. A5, p. 6523-6530, 1992
- Kabin, K.; Hansen, K. C.; Gombosi, T. I.; Combi, M. R.; Linde, T. J.; DeZeeuw, D. L.; Groth, C. P. T.; Powell, K. G.; Nagy, A. F. Global MHD Simulations of Space Plasma Environments: Heliosphere, Comets, Magnetospheres of Planets and Satellites, *Astrophysics and Space Science*, v. 274, Issue 1/2, p. 407-421, 2000.
- Kallio, E., H. Koskinen, Barabash, S. Nairn, C., and Schwingenschuh, K. Oxygen outflow in the Martian magnetotail, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 22, Issue 18, p. 2449-2452, 1995.
- Kar, J. Solar wind interaction with early Mars and atmospheric evolution, *Advances in Space*

- Research*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 279-281, 1992
- Kaye, J. A. Mechanisms and observations for isotope fractionation of molecular species in planetary atmospheres, *Reviews of Geophysics*, vol. 25, p. 1609-1658, 1987
- Keller, C. N.; Cravens, T. E.; Gan, L. One-dimensional multispecies magnetohydrodynamic models of the ramside ionosphere of Titan, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 99, no. A4, p. 6511-6525, 1994
- Kletetschka, G., P.J. Wasilewski, and P.T. Taylor, Mineralogy of the sources for magnetic anomalies on Mars, *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, Volume 35, Number 5, pp. 895-899, September 2000.
- Kletetschka, G., J.E.P. Connerney, N.F. Ness, and M.H. Acuña, Pressure effects on Martian crustal magnetization near large impact basins, *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, Volume 39, Number 11, pp. 1839-1848, 2004. Kletetschka, G., N.F. Ness, J.E.P. Connerney, M.H. Acuña, and P.J. Wasilewski, Grain-Size-Dependent Potential for Self Generation of Magnetic Anomalies on Mars via Thermoremanent Magnetic Acquisition and Magnetic Interaction of Hematite and Magnetite, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, Volume 148, Issue 2-4, pp. 149-156, 2005.
- Korosmezey, A.; Cravens, T. E.; Nagy, A. F.; Gombosi, T. I.; Mendis, D. A. A new model of cometary ionospheres, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 92, p. 7331-7340, 1987
- Krasnopolsky, V. A.; Bowyer, S.; Chakrabarti, S.; Gladstone, G. R.; McDonald, J. S. First measurement of helium on Mars: Implications for the problem of radiogenic gases on the terrestrial planets, *Icarus*, vol. 109, no. 2, p. 337-351, 1994
- Krymskii, A. M.; Ness, N. F.; Crider, D. H.; Breus, T. K.; Acuña, M. H.; Hinson, D. P. Solar wind interaction with the ionosphere/atmosphere and crustal magnetic fields at Mars: Mars Global Surveyor Magnetometer/Electron Reflectometer, radio science, and accelerometer data, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 109, Issue A11, CiteID A11306, 2004
- Krymskii, A.M., T.K. Breus, N.F. Ness, M.H. Acuña, J.E.P. Connerney, D.H. Crider, D.L. Mitchell, and S.J. Bauer, Structure of the magnetic field fluxes connected with crustal magnetization and topside ionosphere at Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Space Physics*, Volume 107, Issue A9, Article Number 1245, doi:10.1029/2001JA000239, 20 September 2002.
- Lammer, H.; Bauer, S. J. Isotopic Fractionation by Gravitational Escape, *Space Science Reviews*, v. 106, Issue 1, p. 281-291, 2003
- Leblanc, F.; Johnson, R. E. Sputtering of the Martian atmosphere by solar wind pick-up ions, *Planetary and Space Science*, Volume 49, Issue 6, p. 645-656, 2001
- Leblanc, F.; Luhmann, J G; Johnson, R E; Chassefiere, E, Some expected impacts of a solar energetic particle event at Mars , *Journal of Geophysical Research. A. Space Physics*. Vol. 107, no. A5, pp. SIA 5-1 to SIA 5-12. 1 May 2002 .
- Liemohn, M.W., R. Frahm, J.D. Winningham, Y. Ma, S. Barabash, R. Lundin, J.U. Kozyra, A.F. Nagy, S.M. Bougher, J. Bell et al., Numerical interpretation of high-altitude photoelectron observations, *Icarus*, in press, 2006.
- Lillis, R.J., D.L. Mitchell, R.P. Lin, J.E.P. Connerney, and M.H. Acuña, Mapping crustal magnetic fields at Mars using electron reflectometry, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 31, Issue 15, Article number L15702, doi: 10.1029/2004GL020189, 7 August 2004.
- Liu, Y.; Nagy, A. F.; Gombosi, T. I.; Dezeew, D. L.; Powell, K. G. The solar wind interaction with Mars: results of three-dimensional three-species MHD studies, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 27, Issue 11, p. 1837-1846, 2001
- Liu, Y.; Nagy, A. F.; Kabin, K.; Combi, M. R.; Dezeew, D. L.; Gombosi, T. I.; Powell, K. G. Two-species, 3D, MHD Simulation of Europa's Interaction with Jupiter's Magnetosphere, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 27, Issue 12, p.1791, 2000
- Liu, Yifan; Nagy, Andrew F.; Groth, Clinton P. T.; DeZeeuw, Darren L.; Gombosi, Tamas I.;

- Powell, Kenneth G. 3D multi-fluid MHD studies of the solar wind interaction with Mars, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 26, Issue 17, p. 2689-2692, 2000.
- Luhmann, J.G., R. E. Johnson, and M. H. G. Zhang Evolutionary impact of sputtering of the Martian atmosphere by O<sup>+</sup> pickup ions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19(21), 2151, 1992.
- Luhmann, J.G. and S.J. Bauer. "Solar Wind Effects on Atmosphere Evolution at Venus and Mars," *Venus and Mars: Atmospheres, Ionospheres, and Solar Wind Interactions*, J.G. Luhmann, M. Tatrallyay, and R.O. Pepin, eds. Geophysical Monograph 66, 417-430, 1992.
- Luhmann, J.G. and J. U. Kozyra, Dayside pickup oxygen ion precipitation at Venus and Mars: Spatial distributions, energy deposition and consequences, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 5457, 1991.
- Lundin, R., S. Barabash, H. Andersson, M. Holmström, A. Grigoriev, M. Yamauchi, J.-A. Sauvaud, A. Fedorov, E. Budnik, J.-J. Thovacen, D. Winningham, R. Frahm, J. Scherrer, J. Sharber, K. Asamura, H. Hayakawa, A. Coates, D. R. Linder, C. Curtis, K. C. Hsieh, B. R. Sandel, M. Grande, M. Carter, D. H. Reading, H. Koskinen, E. Kallio, P. Riihela, W. Schmidt, T. Täles, J. Kozyra, N. Krupp, J. Woch, J. Luhmann, S. McKenna-Lawler, R. Cerulli-Irelli, S. Orsini, M. Maggi, A. Mura, A. Milillo, E. Roelof, D. Williams, S. Livi, P. Brandt, P. Wurz, and P. Boechler, Solar Wind-Induced Atmospheric Erosion at Mars: First Results from ASPERA-3 on Mars Express, *Science*, 305, 1933–1936, doi:10.1126/science.1101860, 2004.
- Lundin, R., D. Winningham, S. Barabash, R. Frahm, H. Andersson, M. Holmström, A. Grigoriev, M. Yamauchi, H. Borg, J.R. Sharber et al. Ionospheric plasma acceleration at Mars: ASPERA-3 results, *Icarus*, in press, 2006b.
- Lundin, R., and 22 co-authors Plasma Acceleration Above Martian Magnetic Anomalies, *Science*: Vol. 311. no. 5763, pp. 980 – 983, DOI: 10.1126/science.1122071, 17 February 2006a.
- Ma, Ying-Juan; Nagy, Andrew F.; Cravens, Thomas E.; Sokolov, Igor V.; Clark, John; Hansen, Kenneth C. 3-D global MHD model prediction for the first close flyby of Titan by Cassini, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 31, Issue 22, CiteID L22803, 2004
- Ma, Yingjuan; Nagy, Andrew F.; Hansen, Kenneth C.; DeZeeuw, Darren L.; Gombosi, Tamas I.; Powell, K. G. Three-dimensional multispecies MHD studies of the solar wind interaction with Mars in the presence of crustal fields, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, Volume 107, Issue A10, pp. SMP 6-1, CiteID 1282, DOI 10.1029/2002JA009293, 2002
- Ma, Yingjuan; Nagy, Andrew F.; Sokolov, Igor V.; Hansen, Kenneth C. Three-dimensional, multispecies, high spatial resolution MHD studies of the solar wind interaction with Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 109, Issue A7, CiteID A07211, 2004
- Martinis, Carlos R.; Wilson, Jody K.; Mendillo, Michael J. Modeling day-to-day ionospheric variability on Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 108, Issue A10, pp. SIA 8-1, CiteID 1383, DOI 10.1029/2003JA009973, 2003
- Michel, F. C. Solar-wind-induced mass loss from magnetic field-free planets, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 19, 1580, 1971.
- Mitchell, D. L., R. P. Lin, C. Mazelle, H. Rème, P. A. Cloutier, J. E. P. Connerney, M. H. Acuña, and N. F. Ness, Probing Mars' crustal magnetic field and ionosphere with the MGS Electron Reflectometer, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 106, Number E10, pp. 23,418-23,427, doi: 10.1029/2000JE001435, 25 October 2001.
- Modolo, R., G. M. Chanteur, E. Dubinin, A. P. Matthews Influence of the solar EUV flux on the Martian plasma environment, *Annales Geophysicae*, 23: 433 – 444, 2005.
- Morgan, D., D. A. Gurnett, D. L. Kirchner, R. L. Huff, D. A. Brain, W. V. Boynton, M. H. Acuña, J. J. Plaut, and G. Picardi Solar Control of Radar Wave Absorption by the Martian Ionosphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, submitted, 2006.
- Nagy, A.F., D. Winterhalter, K. Sauer, T.E. Cravens, S. Brecht, C. Mazelle, D. Crider, E. Kallio, A. Zakharov, E. Dubinin, M. Verigin, G. Kotova, W.I. Axford, C. Bertucci, and

- J.G. Trotignon, The plasma Environment of Mars, *Space Science Reviews*, Volume 111, Issue 1, pp. 33-114, doi: 10.1023/B:SPAC.0000032718.47512.92, March 2004.
- Nagy, A. F.; Cravens, T. E.; Smith, S. G.; Taylor, H. A.; Brinton, H. C. Model calculations of the dayside ionosphere of Venus - Ionic composition, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 85, p. 7795-7801. 1980
- Ness, N. F., M. H. Acuña, J. E. P. Connerney, A. J. Kliore, T. K. Breus, A. M. Krymskii, P. Cloutier, and S. J. Bauer, Effects of magnetic anomalies discovered at Mars on the structure of the Martian ionosphere and solar wind interaction as follows from radio occultation experiments, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Space Physics*, Volume 105, Issue A7, pp. 15991-16004, July 2000.
- Nielsen, E., Wang, X.-D., Gurnett, D.A., Kirchner, D.L., Huff, R., Orosei, R., Safaeinili, A., Plaut, J.J., and Picardi, G., Vertical sheets of ionization in the top side Martian ionosphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, submitted, 2006.
- Nimmo, F. and K. Tanaka Early crustal evolution of Mars, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, Vol. 33: 133-161, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.33.092203.122637, January 2005.
- Penz, T.; Arshukova, I. L.; Terada, N.; Shinagawa, H.; Erkaev, N. V.; Biernat, H. K.; Lammer, H. A comparison of magnetohydrodynamic instabilities at the Martian ionopause, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 36, Issue 11, p. 2049-2056, 2005
- Pérez-de-Tejada, H. Momentum transport in the solar wind erosion of the Mars ionosphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 103, Issue E13, p. 31499-31508, 1998
- Riedler, W.; Schwingenschuh, K.; Lichtenegger, H.; Mohlmann, D.; Rustenbach, J.; Yeroshenko, Ye.; Achache, J.; Slavin, J.; Luhmann, J. G.; Russell, C. T. Interaction of the solar wind with the planet Mars - PHOBOS 2 magnetic field observations, *Planetary and Space Science*, vol. 39, p. 75-81, 1991
- Rosenbauer, H.; Shutte, N.; Galeev, A.; Gringauz, K.; Apathy, I. Ions of Martian origin and plasma sheet in the Martian magnetosphere - Initial results of the TAUS experiment, *Nature*, vol. 341, p. 612-614. 1989
- Russell, C. T.; Luhmann, J. G.; Spreiter, J. R.; Stahara, S. S. The magnetic field of Mars - Implications from gas dynamic modeling, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 89, p. 2997-3003, 1984
- Sauer, K.; Bogdanov, A.; Baumgartel, K. The protonopause-an ion composition boundary in the magnetosheath of comets, Venus and Mars, *Advances in Space Research*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. (4)153-(4)158, 1995
- Shinagawa, H.; Cravens, T. E. A one-dimensional multispecies magnetohydrodynamic model of the dayside ionosphere of Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 94, p. 6506-6516, 1989.
- Shizgal, Bernie D.; Arkos, Gregory G. Nonthermal escape of the atmospheres of Venus, Earth, and Mars, *Reviews of Geophysics*, Volume 34, Issue 4, p. 483-505, 1996
- Schubert, G., Russell, C.T., and Moore, W.B. Geophysics: Timing of the Martian dynamo, *Nature*, Volume 408, Issue 6813, pp. 666-667, 2000.
- Smith, Edward J.; Davis, Leverett, Jr.; Coleman, Paul J., Jr.; Jones, Douglas E. Magnetic Field Measurements near Mars, *Science*, Volume 149, Issue 3689, pp. 1241-1242, 1965.
- Spohn T., M. H. Acuña, D. Breuer, M. Golombek, R. Greeley, A. Halliday, E. Hauber, R. Jaumann, and F. Sohl, Geophysical constraints on the evolution of Mars, *Space Science Reviews*, Volume 96, Issue 1/4, pp. 231-262, April 2001.
- Spreiter JR, Summers AL, Rizzi, AW, Solar wind flow past nonmagnetic planets – Venus and Mars, *Planet. Space Science* 18 (9): 1281, 1970.
- Stevenson, D.J. Mars' core and magnetism, *Nature* 412, 214-219, doi:10.1038/35084155, 12 July 2001.
- Szegö, K.; Dóbbé, Z.; Huba, J.; Quest, K.; Shapiro, V. D. Wave Activity in the Dayside Mantle of Venus, Mars, and Titan, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 26, Issue 10, p. 1609-

- 1612, 2000
- Tracadas, Philip W.; Zuber, Maria T.; Smith, David E.; Lemoine, Frank G. Density structure of the upper thermosphere of Mars from measurements of air drag on the Mars Global Surveyor spacecraft, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Volume 106, Issue E10, p. 23349-23358, 2001
- Vaisberg, O. L.; Bogdanov, A. V. Flow of the solar wind around Mars and Venus - General principles, *Cosmic Research*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 253-257, 1974
- Vennerstrom, S., N. Olsen, M. Purucker, M.H. Acuña, and J.C. Cain, The magnetic field in the pile-up region at Mars, and its variation with the solar wind, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 30, Issue 7, Article number 1369, pp. 22-1, doi:10.1029/2003GL016883, 4 April 2003.
- Verigin, M. I.; Gringauz, K. I.; Kotova, G. A.; Remizov, A. P.; Shutte, N. M.; Rosenbauer, H.; Livi, S.; Richter, A.; Riedler, W.; Schwingenschuh, K.; Szego, K.; Apathy, I.; Tatrallyay, M. The dependence of the Martian magnetopause and bow shock on solar wind Ram pressure according to PHOBOS 2 TAUS ion spectrometer measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 98, no. A2, p. 1303-1309, 1993
- Vignes, D.; Acuña, M. H.; Connerney, J. E. P.; Crider, D. H.; Rème, H.; Mazelle, C. Factors controlling the location of the Bow Shock at Mars, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 29, Issue 9, pp. 42-1, CiteID 1328, DOI 10.1029/2001GL014513, 2002
- Withers et al., Ionospheric characteristics above Martian crustal magnetic anomalies, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, L16204, doi:10.1029/2005GL023483, 2005.